| 1 | | NEW YORK STATE GAMING COMMISSION |
|-----|-------|----------------------------------|
| 2 | | May 19, 2020 |
| 3 | | Held at |
| 4 | | NEW YORK STATE GAMING COMMISSION |
| 5 | | ONE BROADWAY CENTER |
| 6 | | SCHENECTADY, NEW YORK 12305 |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | Transcript of Recording |
| 9 | | |
| LO | PRES: | ENT: |
| 11 | | New York State Gaming Commission |
| L2 | | One Broadway Center |
| L3 | | Schenectady, New York 12305 |
| L 4 | | |
| L5 | BY: | John A. Crotty |
| L 6 | | John J. Poklemba |
| L7 | | Barry Sample |
| L8 | | Jerry Skurnik |
| L 9 | | Peter J. Moschetti |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |



| 1 | MR. WILLIAMS: New York State |
|----|---|
| 2 | Racing, Pari Mutuel and Breeding Law |
| 3 | Section 102 provided that the New York |
| 4 | State Gaming Commission shall consist of |
| 5 | seven members appointed by the Governor |
| 6 | by and with the advice and consent of the |
| 7 | Senate. Four members confirmed by the |
| 8 | New York State Senate are necessary to |
| 9 | afford the Commission an ability to |
| 10 | establish decorum and undertake action. |
| 11 | The present meeting of the Commission is |
| 12 | now called to order. |
| 13 | This meeting is being conducted in |
| 14 | conformity with Governor Andrew Cuomo's |
| 15 | executive order 202.1 which is entitled, |
| 16 | Continuing Suspension and Modification of |
| 17 | Laws Related to the Disaster Emergency. |
| 18 | The order contains portions of the open |
| 19 | meeting law and specifically allows the |
| 20 | conduct of meetings by telephone or other |
| 21 | similar service. The Governor's |
| 22 | executive order regarding first Article 7 |
| 23 | of the Public Officers Law to the extent |
| 24 | necessary to prevent any public body to |
| 25 | meet and take such actions authorized by |

| 1 | the law without permitting public in- |
|----|---|
| 2 | person access to meetings and authorizing |
| 3 | such meetings to be held remotely by |
| 4 | conference or similar service provided |
| 5 | that the public has the ability to view |
| 6 | or look into such proceedings and that |
| 7 | such meetings are recorded and later |
| 8 | transcribed. Accordingly the |
| 9 | Commissioner of the Commission meeting is |
| 10 | being conducted in conformance with |
| 11 | special allowance and we are recording |
| 12 | today's meeting for transcription as |
| 13 | required. |
| 14 | Ms. Secretary, will you please call |
| 15 | the roll? |
| 16 | MS. SECRETARY: John Crotty? |
| 17 | MR. CROTTY: Here. |
| 18 | MS. SECRETARY: Peter Moschetti? |
| 19 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Here. |
| 20 | MS. SECRETARY: John Poklemba? |
| 21 | MR. POKLEMBA: Here. |
| 22 | MS. SECRETARY: Barry Sample? |
| 23 | MR. SAMPLE: Here. |
| 24 | MS. SECRETARY: Jerry Skurnik? |
| 25 | MR. SKURNIK: Here. |



| 1 | MR. WILLIAMS: Ms. Secretary, please |
|----|---|
| 2 | let the record reflect that a quorum of |
| 3 | qualified members is present, thus |
| 4 | enabling the transaction of business. |
| 5 | Chairman Sample has requested that |
| 6 | Commissioner Moschetti preside over |
| 7 | today's meeting. Unless there's such an |
| 8 | objection to this, Commissioner |
| 9 | Moschetti? |
| 10 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Chairman Sample, |
| 11 | thank you for the opportunity to |
| 12 | temporary fill your position today. The |
| 13 | first item is consideration of minutes |
| 14 | from our meeting of February 10th, 2020. |
| 15 | Minutes of the Commission meeting |
| 16 | conducted on February 10th, 2020 have |
| 17 | been provided to the members in advance. |
| 18 | At this time, I would like to ask the |
| 19 | members if there are any edits, |
| 20 | corrections, or amendments. |
| 21 | Hearing none, Ms. Secretary please |
| 22 | let the record reflect the minutes were |
| 23 | accepted as presented. |
| 24 | Item number 2, ruling New York State |
| 25 | Racing, Pari Mutuel Wagering and Breeding |

| 1 | Law Section 104.19 authorizes the |
|----|---|
| 2 | Commission to promulgate rules and |
| 3 | regulations as deemed necessary to carry |
| 4 | out its responsibilities. Consistent |
| 5 | with that, the Commission will from time- |
| 6 | to-time promulgate rules and rule |
| 7 | amendments pursuant to the New York State |
| 8 | Procedure Act. Today we have an active |
| 9 | rulemaking agenda with six rules for |
| 10 | adoption, consideration, and several for |
| 11 | proposed. |
| 12 | Mr. Williams will you please outline |
| 13 | the items? |
| 14 | MR. WILLIAMS: Certainly. For the |
| 15 | Commission's consideration is the |
| 16 | adoption of a rulemaking that would allow |
| 17 | a claimant to void any claims of a |
| 18 | thoroughbred horse that is discovered to |
| 19 | have epistaxis due to an exercise induced |
| 20 | pulmonary hemorrhage and claim the race. |
| 21 | Under the proposal the proclaimed horse |
| 22 | would go to the test spot. After the |
| 23 | appropriate cooling out period before |
| 24 | which lameness is not always apparent, |
| 25 | the State veterinarian would examine the |

| 1 | horse for lameness. Such an examination |
|----|---|
| 2 | would include a visual appraisal of the |
| 3 | horse at rest and in motion on soft and |
| 4 | hard surfaces. If the veterinarian |
| 5 | determines that the horse has grade two |
| 6 | or higher lameness or has experienced |
| 7 | epistaxis, he or she will inform the |
| 8 | claimant who will then be permitted to |
| 9 | void the claim. If the claimant voids |
| 10 | the claim, then the owner who entered the |
| 11 | horse in the race and will continue to be |
| 12 | responsible for the horse. The claimant |
| 13 | could also decide not to void the claim |
| 14 | and may take the horse. These new |
| 15 | provisions would not waive any other |
| 16 | objections that might later be identified |
| 17 | as possible objections to the claim. One |
| 18 | public comment was received during the |
| 19 | public comment period, which expired on |
| 20 | April 20th. The New York Racing |
| 21 | Association would afford (indiscernible) |
| 22 | expanding the scope of the void claim |
| 23 | rule to include horses deemed to be |
| 24 | unsound or to have (indiscernible) by the |
| 25 | state veterinarian or other designated |

| 1 | veterinarians. The opposed utilization |
|----|---|
| 2 | grading level for evaluating lameness, |
| 3 | rather NYRA (phonetic) suggested the |
| 4 | Commission adopt the Association of |
| 5 | Racing Commissioners International Model |
| 6 | Rule And we note that no racing |
| 7 | jurisdiction has adopted the model rule |
| 8 | suggested by NYRA The purpose of |
| 9 | (indiscernible) the (indiscernible) is to |
| 10 | standardize the criteria used and to |
| 11 | remove subjectivity from (indiscernible) |
| 12 | of the horse. The Commission says |
| 13 | they'll (indiscernible) the scale of |
| 14 | American Association of Equine |
| 15 | Practitioners, which has published its |
| 16 | criteria since 1999. While other scales |
| 17 | exist, only the AAEP Lameness Scale has |
| 18 | acceptance in use in the United States. |
| 19 | Staff recommends adoption of this rule |
| 20 | proposal. |
| 21 | MR. MOSCHETTI: If there's any |
| 22 | questions on the adoption of the rule |
| 23 | regarding a board claim for lane |
| 24 | thoroughbred horses. |
| 25 | Hearing none. May I have a motion |

| 1 | to adopt the rule. |
|----|---|
| 2 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved. |
| 3 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 4 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second. |
| 5 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Thank you. Any |
| 6 | objection on motion? |
| 7 | Hearing none. All in favor? |
| 8 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? |
| 10 | The motion carries. Next item |
| 11 | please. |
| 12 | MR. WILLIAMS: For the Commission's |
| 13 | consideration is the adoption of a rule |
| 14 | providing optional match the dealer wager |
| 15 | in the game of Spanish 21. When the side |
| 16 | wager is placed the player wins the bet. |
| 17 | If the rank of either or both of their |
| 18 | initial two cards matches the rank |
| 19 | dealer's top card. If the cards matching |
| 20 | both rank and suit, the player wins the |
| 21 | bigger payout according to a pre- |
| 22 | determined payout table. No public |
| 23 | comments were received. Staff recommends |
| 24 | the adoption of this rule proposal. |
| 25 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any questions on the |

| 1 | adoption of the rule authorizing the |
|-----|---|
| 2 | optional max the dealer side wage Spanish |
| 3 | 21? |
| 4 | Hearing none. They have a motion to |
| 5 | adopt this rule. |
| 6 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 7 | moved. |
| 8 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 9 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| LO | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any questions on the |
| 11 | motion? |
| L2 | Hearing none. All in favor? |
| 13 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| L4 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| L5 | motion carries. Next item please. |
| L 6 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| L7 | consideration the adoption of amendment |
| L8 | related to the provision of Social |
| L 9 | Security numbers. The use of Social |
| 20 | Security numbers will continue to be |
| 21 | required when necessary to comply with |
| 22 | tax laws and be allowable when |
| 23 | voluntarily given. This proposal is |
| 24 | consistent with the Federal Privacy Act |
| >5 | of 1974 and the New York State Personal |

| 1 | Privacy Protection Law. All public |
|-----|--|
| 2 | comments were received. Staff recommends |
| 3 | the adoption of this rule proposal. |
| 4 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Is there any |
| 5 | questions on the adoption of the rule |
| 6 | relating to the provision of Social |
| 7 | Security numbers? |
| 8 | Hearing none I propose to adopt this |
| 9 | rule. |
| LO | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| L1 | moved. |
| 12 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| L3 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| L 4 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion about |
| L5 | the rule? |
| L 6 | Hearing none. All in favor? |
| L7 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| L8 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| L 9 | motion carries. Next item please. |
| 20 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| 21 | consideration is the adoption of the |
| 22 | consensus rulemaking amending rule |
| 23 | 4024.1(b)(1) to broaden the requirements |
| 24 | of a lip tattoo for thoroughbred horses |
| >5 | to race in New York with digital tattoos |



| 1 | The rule will (indiscernible) Commission |
|----|---|
| 2 | rules with a nationwide digital tattoo |
| 3 | system which was introduced by The Jockey |
| 4 | Club first apply to the horses that will |
| 5 | be two years old in 2020. As mentioned |
| 6 | during consideration no change to the |
| 7 | Commission's harness racing rule is |
| 8 | necessary as it is broad enough to permit |
| 9 | any tattoo whether lip or digital. A |
| 10 | notice to the proposed rule has been |
| 11 | published in the State register on |
| 12 | January 8th. Being that the public |
| 13 | comment area closed on March 9th. No |
| 14 | public comment was received. Staff |
| 15 | recommends the Commission adopt the |
| 16 | consensus rule. |
| 17 | MR. MOSCHETTI: May I have a motion |
| 18 | to adopt the rule proposal to permit |
| 19 | thoroughbred horses with digital tattoos |
| 20 | to race in New York? |
| 21 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 22 | moved. |
| 23 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 24 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 25 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |



| 1 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion on |
|----|---|
| 2 | this motion. Hearing none. All in |
| 3 | favor? |
| 4 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| 6 | motion carries. |
| 7 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| 8 | consideration is the adoption of a |
| 9 | rulemaking regarding certain drug |
| 10 | injections in thoroughbred races. If you |
| 11 | recall, the proposal has three parts. |
| 12 | With respect to the first part, the |
| 13 | proposal amended the restricted time |
| 14 | period for the use of cortico joint |
| 15 | injections (indiscernible) from the |
| 16 | current restriction of seven days before |
| 17 | a thoroughbred horse's next race. The |
| 18 | purpose of the proposal is to enhance the |
| 19 | perfection afforded for a horse by |
| 20 | allowing veterinarians and horsemen a |
| 21 | greater time frame following |
| 22 | administration of a cortico joint |
| 23 | injection to evaluate effectiveness. |
| 24 | With respect to the second part, the |
| 25 | proposal would amend a restricted time |

| 1 | period for the inter-articular injection |
|----|---|
| 2 | of any substance to a thoroughbred horse |
| 3 | to max the proposal with a fourteen-day |
| 4 | time period for a cortisone joint |
| 5 | injection. Again the purpose of this |
| 6 | proposal is to allow veterinarians and |
| 7 | horsemen time to evaluate horses |
| 8 | following treatment before returning them |
| 9 | to high-speed exercise and competition. |
| 10 | With respect to the third part, this |
| 11 | proposal would require the reporting of |
| 12 | every intra-articular joint injection. |
| 13 | Current rules only require submission of |
| 14 | cortico joint injections within forty- |
| 15 | eight hours of treatment. Parts 1 and 2 |
| 16 | have been advanced as part of the Mid- |
| 17 | Atlantic strategic plan to reduce equine |
| 18 | fatalities by the regulated sportsman and |
| 19 | race track operators from the seven |
| 20 | states that comprise the Mid-Atlantic |
| 21 | region. Part 3 simply applies parts 1 |
| 22 | and 2 for existing reporting |
| 23 | requirements. No public comments were |
| 24 | received. Staff recommends the adoption |
| 25 | of this rule proposal. |

| 1 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Do the commissioners |
|-----|---|
| 2 | have any questions on the adoption of the |
| 3 | rule regarding thoroughbred joint |
| 4 | injections? |
| 5 | Hearing no questions. May I have a |
| 6 | motion to adopt this rule? |
| 7 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 8 | moved. |
| 9 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Moved. |
| LO | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 11 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| L2 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 13 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion on |
| L 4 | this motion? Hearing none. All in |
| L5 | favor? |
| L 6 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| L7 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| L8 | motion carries. Next item please. |
| L9 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| 20 | consideration the adoption of a |
| 21 | rulemaking regarding thoroughbred Pari |
| 22 | Mutuel wagering rule for pick five and |
| 23 | pick six wagers. The current rule |
| 24 | prohibit a race track from displaying |
| >5 | wagering information about pick five or |

| 1 | pick six combinations other than will- |
|----|---|
| 2 | pays after the penultimate race in the |
| 3 | sequence. The proposed revision would |
| 4 | permit a race track to display publicly |
| 5 | combinations wager wise, amounts wagered |
| 6 | on such combinations wagered upon, the |
| 7 | amounts wagered on such combinations, the |
| 8 | number of tickets sold or the number of |
| 9 | tickets still capable of winning a pick |
| 10 | five or pick six pool. No public |
| 11 | comments were received. Do I have |
| 12 | recommend adoption of this rule proposal? |
| 13 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Do any of the |
| 14 | Commissioners have questions on the |
| 15 | adoption of the rule regarding |
| 16 | thoroughbred Pari Mutuel wagering rule |
| 17 | for pick five and pick six wagers? There |
| 18 | are no discussions. May I have a motion |
| 19 | to adopt this rule? |
| 20 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 21 | moved. |
| 22 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 23 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 24 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Is there any |
| 25 | discussion on this motion? |



| 1 | Hearing none. All in favor? |
|----|---|
| 2 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? Motion |
| 4 | carries. |
| 5 | Next item please. |
| 6 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| 7 | consideration is a proposal to restrict |
| 8 | the administration to thoroughbred horses |
| 9 | of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs |
| 10 | or NSAIDS such that only one clinical |
| 11 | dose may be administered during the weeks |
| 12 | before the horse races. The proposal |
| 13 | would limit the administration through ar |
| 14 | intravenous treatment and adopt stricter |
| 15 | thresholds for the two months commonly |
| 16 | used NSAIDS, flunixin and phenylbutazone |
| 17 | and has been recommended by the Racing |
| 18 | Medication & Testing Consortium and has |
| 19 | adopted as a model rule by the |
| 20 | Association of Racing Commissioners |
| 21 | International. The proposal also reduces |
| 22 | the list of NSAIDs that can be |
| 23 | administered lawfully within one week |
| 24 | before the horse races to only three for |
| 25 | which the appropriate laboratory |

| 1 | threshold is unclear. The current rule |
|----|---|
| 2 | permits the use of two NSAIDs from the |
| 3 | (indiscernible) list of six NSAIDs |
| 4 | (indiscernible) before a horse races, if |
| 5 | one is not used within ninety-six hours |
| 6 | of the race and the other is administered |
| 7 | outside forty-eight hours. Staff |
| 8 | recommends the Commission authorize the |
| 9 | proposal of this rulemaking. |
| 10 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Do any of the |
| 11 | Commissioners have questions on the |
| 12 | proposal or the rule to amend the |
| 13 | administration of nonsteroidal anti- |
| 14 | inflammatory drug in thoroughbred horses? |
| 15 | Hearing no questions, may I have a motion |
| 16 | to propose this rule? |
| 17 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 18 | moved. |
| 19 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 20 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 21 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion on |
| 22 | this motion? Hearing none. All in |
| 23 | favor? |
| 24 | ON UNISON: Aye. |
| 25 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Anybody oppose? The |



| 1 | motion carries. |
|----|---|
| 2 | The next item please. |
| 3 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| 4 | consideration is a consensus rulemaking |
| 5 | to correct cross-references in our gaming |
| 6 | regulations that were left unchanged when |
| 7 | we recently moved the (indiscernible) |
| 8 | gaming self-exclusion provisions from |
| 9 | part 5326 to a new part 5402. The |
| 10 | proposal also made blanket statements to |
| 11 | be consistent with other rules. Staff |
| 12 | recommends the commission authorize the |
| 13 | proposal of this rulemaking. |
| 14 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Do any of the |
| 15 | Commissioners have questions of the |
| 16 | proposal of a rule to make technical |
| 17 | changes to rule cross-referencing? |
| 18 | Hearing no questions, may I have a motion |
| 19 | to propose this rule? |
| 20 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 21 | moved. |
| 22 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 23 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 24 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion on |
| 25 | the motion? Hearing none. All in favor? |



| Ţ | IN UNISON: Aye. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| 3 | motion carries. The next item please. |
| 4 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| 5 | consideration as emergency and consensus |
| 6 | rulemaking to extend the date upon which |
| 7 | a harnessed horse must (indiscernible) by |
| 8 | 30 days to a date as determined |
| 9 | appropriate by the Commission. In |
| 10 | layman's terms, all (indiscernible) at |
| 11 | which a horse must establish its ability |
| 12 | to participate in a race meeting |
| 13 | consistent with the qualifying standards |
| 14 | established for that class of horse. |
| 15 | (Indiscernible) pandemic has shuttered |
| 16 | specific racing activity without a rule |
| 17 | change, virtually all harnessed horses |
| 18 | will be required to requalify. When |
| 19 | racing is all right to resume the |
| 20 | requalification requirement with |
| 21 | necessitate event gathering of owners, |
| 22 | trainers, and riders and associated |
| 23 | personnel (indiscernible) requalify their |
| 24 | horses. To alleviate this potential |
| 25 | gathering staff suggests an allowance to |

| 1 | establish a longer qualification period. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Accordingly, we suggest the |
| 3 | (indiscernible) an emergency and a |
| 4 | consensus rulemaking. We believe the |
| 5 | emergency rulemaking is appropriate to |
| 6 | safeguard the health of the participants |
| 7 | in the horse racing industry and believes |
| 8 | this proposal also has widespread support |
| 9 | in the industry. Hence, we suggest this |
| 10 | proposal also as a consensus rule. Staff |
| 11 | recommends the Commission authorize the |
| 12 | proposal as emergency and consensus |
| 13 | rulemaking. |
| 14 | MR. MOSCHETTI: I am going to call |
| 15 | the proposals individually. Any |
| 16 | questions on the proposal of the harness |
| 17 | qualifying rules? Hearing no questions. |
| 18 | May I have a motion to propose this rule? |
| 19 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 20 | moved. |
| 21 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 22 | moved. |
| 23 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 24 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 25 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion on |



| 1 | the motion? Hearing no discussion. All |
|----|---|
| 2 | in favor? |
| 3 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| 5 | motion carries. |
| 6 | Next let's see. Can I have |
| 7 | this is the next one. Any questions on |
| 8 | the consensus proposal on an amendment to |
| 9 | the harness qualifying rule? Hearing no |
| 10 | questions. Do I have a motion to propose |
| 11 | this rule? |
| 12 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 13 | moved. |
| 14 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 15 | moved. |
| 16 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 17 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 18 | If any person is going to move it. |
| 19 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion on |
| 20 | the motion? Hearing none. All in favor? |
| 21 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye. |
| 22 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye. |
| 23 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye. |
| 24 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| 25 | motion carries. |



| 1 | Next item, please. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission's |
| 3 | consideration the rulemaking to remove |
| 4 | certain penal aspects of horses coming |
| 5 | off of and returning to races |
| 6 | administration. |
| 7 | As you might recall, certain |
| 8 | racetracks have considered |
| 9 | (indiscernible) races wherein a condition |
| 10 | would be that no horse receives race day |
| 11 | Lasix administration. Present rules |
| 12 | allow a horse to be removed from Lasix |
| 13 | administration list, but only allow |
| 14 | return after an additional |
| 15 | (indiscernible) triggers a mandatory |
| 16 | horse layoff. This penal aspect might |
| 17 | (indiscernible) trainers would, found |
| 18 | that they're (indiscernible) Lasix. |
| 19 | Accordingly this proposal would |
| 20 | permissibly allow a horse to be removed |
| 21 | from the Lasix eligible list for the |
| 22 | limited purpose for competing in a non- |
| 23 | Lasix race. And allow them to return to |
| 24 | the list without incurring any potential |
| 25 | mandatory layoff. Staff recommends the |

| 1 | Commission authorize this proposal of |
|----|--|
| 2 | this rulemaking. |
| 3 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any questions before |
| 4 | we move onto the amendment to the Lasix |
| 5 | administration rules? Hearing none. May |
| 6 | I have a motion to propose this rule? |
| 7 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So |
| 8 | moved. |
| 9 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Second? |
| 10 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. |
| 11 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any discussion on |
| 12 | the motion? Hearing none. All in favor? |
| 13 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye. |
| 14 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye. |
| 15 | MR. MOSCHETTI: Any opposed? The |
| 16 | motion carries. |
| 17 | All right then. That concludes the |
| 18 | rulemaking portion of our agenda. |
| 19 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Correct, |
| 20 | sir. |
| 21 | MR. MOSCHETTI: So we're going to |
| 22 | move onto communications. That is the |
| 23 | next scheduled. Today we have four items |
| 24 | for adjudication. Mr. Williams. |
| 25 | MR. WLLIAMS: On June 10th, 2019, |



| 1 | the presiding judge at Monticello Raceway |
|----|--|
| 2 | suspended standardbred trainer Dennis |
| 3 | Washington for 730 days and fined him |
| 4 | \$2,000 dollars for numerous violations of |
| 5 | the Racing Pari Mutuel Wagering and |
| 6 | Breeding Law and the Commission |
| 7 | standardbred racing rules. These |
| 8 | violations involved two horses under Mr. |
| 9 | Washington's care. With respect to the |
| 10 | horse it will be said Mr. Washington was |
| 11 | accused of mistreatment and endangerment |
| 12 | of the horse by permitting an unsafe and |
| 13 | dangerous treatment that led to the |
| 14 | horse's infection and subsequent death |
| 15 | euthanasia. |
| 16 | With respect to the second horse, |
| 17 | Billy Button, Mr. Washington was accused |
| 18 | of selling the horse without |
| 19 | authorization and falsely and |
| 20 | fraudulently obtained or attempting to |
| 21 | obtain payments for the horse at |
| 22 | (indiscernible) he was no longer being |
| 23 | trained by Mr. Washington. Mr. |
| 24 | Washington was also accused of possessing |
| 25 | or directing someone to possess equipment |

| 1 | usable in connection or acting with |
|----|---|
| 2 | financial irresponsibility and failing to |
| 3 | cooperate with the Commissioner's |
| 4 | investigation. Mr. Washington first |
| 5 | requested a hearing, which was adjourned |
| 6 | twice at his request. The hearing was |
| 7 | conducted on December 19th, 2019. |
| 8 | Mr. Washington failed to appear at |
| 9 | the hearing and later claimed that he did |
| 10 | not receive notice. The hearing officer |
| 11 | submitted a report, dated February 18, |
| 12 | 2020, finding that Mr. Washington's |
| 13 | contention that he did not receive notice |
| 14 | of the hearing date was without merit. |
| 15 | That the Commission had met its version |
| 16 | of establishing the various violations of |
| 17 | the laws and the rules and recommended |
| 18 | that Mr. Washington's license be revoked |
| 19 | for a minimum period of two years. The |
| 20 | hearing officer also recommended that Mr. |
| 21 | Washington not be granted a license |
| 22 | thereafter unless he satisfactorily |
| 23 | (indiscernible) for the disappearance of |
| 24 | Billy Button to the Commission |
| 25 | (indiscernible). The Commission |

| T | considered this matter at a meeting |
|-----|---|
| 2 | conducted in judicial or quasi-judicial |
| 3 | proceedings exemption of the New York |
| 4 | Public Officers Law Section 108.1. |
| 5 | MR. MOSCHETTI: The Commission duly |
| 6 | deliberated and considered this matter to |
| 7 | determine upon a vote of 5 to nothing to |
| 8 | modify the hearing officer's report and |
| 9 | recommendation. We got the factual |
| LO | findings report. However, we vote to |
| L1 | modify the recommendations of |
| 12 | (indiscernible) revocation and we modify |
| L3 | as follows, that the revocation be ten |
| L 4 | years and that the fine is \$5,000 |
| 15 | dollars. |
| L 6 | MR. WILLIAMS: The next matter on |
| L7 | December 20th, 2019, the Bureau of |
| L8 | Licensing denied the application of |
| L 9 | Brewster Love (ph.) for a gaming employee |
| 20 | registration to work as a dealer at the |
| 21 | del Lago Casino Empire. The denial cited |
| 22 | New York (indiscernible) section |
| 23 | 1318(1)(a) and 1318(1)(c), which provided |
| 24 | the applicant must prove qualification by |
| 25 | clear and convincing evidence and for |

| 1 | disqualification on the grounds of the |
|----|---|
| 2 | conviction of the applicant of any |
| 3 | offense in any jurisdiction which is or |
| 4 | will be a felony or other crime involving |
| 5 | public integrity embezzlement, theft, |
| 6 | fraud or perjury. |
| 7 | The applicant requested a hearing |
| 8 | which was conducted on January the 29th |
| 9 | of 2020. The hearing officer submitted a |
| 10 | report to the Commission secretary dated |
| 11 | April 13th, recommending that the |
| 12 | registration denial be adopted. The |
| 13 | Commission considered this matter at a |
| 14 | meeting conducted judicial or quasi- |
| 15 | judicial proceedings exemption of New |
| 16 | York Public Officer's Law Section 108.1. |
| 17 | MR. MOSCHETTI: The Commission |
| 18 | deliberated and considered this matter |
| 19 | upon a vote of 5 to nothing to sustain |
| 20 | the hearing officer's report and |
| 21 | recommendations. |
| 22 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On September |
| 23 | 25th, 2019, the judges at the Batavia |
| 24 | Downs disqualified Rock'n Roll Rosie |
| 25 | following her winning second place. The |



| 1 | judges prepared action following a |
|----|---|
| 2 | determination that the horse was |
| 3 | ineligible having failed to comply with |
| 4 | the conditions of the race. Horse owner, |
| 5 | Henry Conrad (ph.), challenged the |
| 6 | disqualification and requested a hearing |
| 7 | which was conducted on December 17th, |
| 8 | 2019. The hearing officer submitted the |
| 9 | report dated March 12th, 2020, |
| 10 | recommending that the decision of the |
| 11 | judges to disqualify and (indiscernible) |
| 12 | the horse declare the purse winnings of |
| 13 | \$3,650 be forfeited and direct |
| 14 | (indiscernible) mount to return to |
| 15 | (indiscernible) to Batavia Downs and |
| 16 | redistributed to eligible entries be |
| 17 | upheld. |
| 18 | The Commission considered this |
| 19 | matter at a meeting conducted judicial or |
| 20 | quasi-judicial proceedings exemption of |
| 21 | New York Public Officer's Law Section |
| 22 | 108.1. |
| 23 | MR. MOSCHETTI: The Commission |
| 24 | deliberated and considered this matter |
| 25 | discovered upon a vote of 5 to nothing to |

| 1 | sustain the Hearing Officer's report and |
|----|---|
| 2 | recommendation. |
| 3 | MR. WILLIAMS: The next matter and |
| 4 | final adjudication for today, on January |
| 5 | 15th, 2020, the Bureau of Licensing |
| 6 | denied the application of Steven |
| 7 | Shoemaker (ph.) for gaming employee |
| 8 | license and registration to work as a |
| 9 | dealer at the Tiago Downs Casino Resort |
| 10 | and Nightclub. The denial cited New York |
| 11 | Racing, Pari Mutuel Wagering and Breeding |
| 12 | Law Section 1316(1)(a), 1318(b), 1318(1) |
| 13 | which provides that the applicant must |
| 14 | prove qualification by clear and |
| 15 | convincing evidence, provide information |
| 16 | required by the racing law, and for |
| 17 | disqualification on the grounds of |
| 18 | failure by the applicant to repay any |
| 19 | debt owed to the State unless the |
| 20 | applicant can provide proof to the |
| 21 | executive director satisfaction of |
| 22 | payment or arrangements to pay such debt |
| 23 | prior to licensure. |
| 24 | Mr. Shoemaker requested a hearing |
| 25 | which was conducted on March 11th, 2020. |



| 1 | The hearing officer submitted a report to |
|----|---|
| 2 | the Commission secretary dated April |
| 3 | 22nd, recommending that the registration |
| 4 | be granted. The Commission considered |
| 5 | this matter at a meeting conducted |
| 6 | pursuant judicial or quasi-judicial |
| 7 | proceedings exemption of meeting Public |
| 8 | Officers Law Section 108.1. |
| 9 | MR. MOSCHETTI: The Commission duly |
| 10 | deliberated and considered this matter |
| 11 | and determined upon a vote of 5 to |
| 12 | nothing to sustain the hearing officer's |
| 13 | report and recommendation. However, |
| 14 | there's one small modification on page 16 |
| 15 | of the report. The last sentence there's |
| 16 | a typographical error. Instead of Stever |
| 17 | Shoemaker, it should say Steven Richard |
| 18 | Shoemaker (indiscernible). |
| 19 | Next, we have old business. At the |
| 20 | previous meeting we started some |
| 21 | discussions regarding Lasix use |
| 22 | nationwide and the use of the crop. |
| 23 | Considering the length of today's agenda |
| 24 | and the fact that the crop used to |
| 25 | (indiscernible) continue to motivate |

| 1 | stakeholders and to get (indiscernible) |
|----|---|
| 2 | further discussions for the next meeting. |
| 3 | (Indiscernible) we're all looking forward |
| 4 | to that (indiscernible). |
| 5 | Does anyone have any other old |
| 6 | business to consider? |
| 7 | Hearing none. Any new business? If |
| 8 | there's no new business mentioned does |
| 9 | anyone have any business? |
| 10 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I'd like |
| 11 | to (indiscernible) the third chair. This |
| 12 | is a vast improvement over our |
| 13 | (indiscernible) chair. |
| 14 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: We need |
| 15 | to make this more permanent. |
| 16 | MR. SAMPLE: Yes, absolutely not. |
| 17 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Barry |
| 18 | (indiscernible) he wants to chair. Peter |
| 19 | did. I commend him for today's |
| 20 | performance. |
| 21 | MR. SAMPLE: Thank you. |
| 22 | UNIDENTIDIFIED MALE SPEAKER: |
| 23 | (Indiscernible). |
| 24 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I second |
| 25 | that. |



| Τ | MR. SAMPLE: Thank you. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. MOSCHETTI: That concludes our |
| 3 | agenda. Anything else you want to talk |
| 4 | about other than that? All right. |
| 5 | So traditionally we meet on the |
| 6 | fourth Monday of each month. So today's |
| 7 | meeting for May, the June date will be |
| 8 | June 22nd for planning purposes. You |
| 9 | recall (indiscernible) arrange it for us |
| 10 | and get it all set up. So I hear no |
| 11 | other items for consideration. The |
| 12 | meeting is adjourned. Thank you, guys. |
| 13 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Thank |
| 14 | you. |
| 15 | (End of recording) |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |



| 1 | CERTIFICATION |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, Allison Bloodworth, certify that the |
| 4 | foregoing transcript is a true and |
| 5 | accurate record of the proceedings. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Allison Bloodworth |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Allison Bloodworth (-) |
| 11 | AAERT Certified Transcriber |
| 12 | |
| 13 | eScribers |
| 14 | 352 Seventh Avenue, Suite #604 |
| 15 | New York, NY 10001 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Date: May 25, 2020 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

